Formulation of a Tropical Beverage by Applying Heat Treatment and High Hydrostatic Pressure
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SUMMARY

Research background. Passion fruit and carrot have a good antioxidant capacity; however, their consumption is low. There is no information on their use in beverages or in processes such as high hydrostatic pressure, which provides the safety of the drink without affecting its quality.

Experimental approach. In this study the effect of high hydrostatic pressure (HHP; 500 MPa for 250 s at 25 °C) and thermal processing (at 65 °C for 10 min, 75 °C for 2 min and 95 °C for 1 min) were evaluated in the formulation of a cold-pressed beverage from purple passion fruit, green passion fruit and carrot juice, taking into account antioxidant capacity, vitamin C concentration, sensorial evaluation and microbiological growth at 8 °C.

Results and conclusions. The formulation containing 67 % purple passion fruit, 17 % green passion fruit and 17 % carrot was the one that stood out with its antioxidant capacity, high vitamin C concentration and sensorial evaluation. The HHP treatment preserved the antioxidant capacity and vitamin C concentration, and resulted in the best scent. Juices stored at 8 °C did not show microbial growth.

Novelty and scientific contribution. In this study, we used tropical raw materials with good sensory acceptance and antioxidant capacity that could be used in the production of high value-added foods. Additionally, the research demonstrated that HHP is a conservation method that maintains the antioxidant capacity, vitamin C and aroma of the beverage to a greater extent than thermal treatments; the latter is of interest for its use in minimally processed products and functional food.
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INTRODUCTION

Fruits are a good source of antioxidants with therapeutic properties against diseases such as cancer (1), obesity (2) and Alzheimer’s (3), among others. Although these studies (1-3) are related to isolated substances from fruits and are conducted in cell culture or animal models, they provide insights into the possible prevention of these chronic diseases. They encourage the consumption of not only fruits and vegetables, but also of derived products such as natural juices (4) and their mixtures (5,6), providing new flavours and possible synergestic effects on the antioxidant capacity of such matrices (7,8).

Pasteurization is the most common method in the food industry; nevertheless, it can reduce the quality; for example, in non-centrifuged watermelon juice, pasteurization (87.7 °C, 20 s) significantly reduced the colour index, bioactive compounds such as lycopene, antioxidant capacity and total polyphenols, and the sensory quality of the juice, particularly during storage for 30 days at 8 °C. The juice was microbiologically safe for up to 30 days when stored at 4 or 8 °C (9). Additionally, there is a relationship between the thermal processing and the bioavailability of the bioactive compounds in the organism. For example, thermal processing could react with other compounds present in the watermelon juice, such as sugars, to form nutritionally unavailable derivatives, such as products generated in the Maillard reaction, reducing the percentage of absorption. In this way,
the pasteurization (80 °C, 40 s) decreased the absorption percentage of l-citrulline in human colon cancer cells (Caco-2) (10). Other authors mention that thermal processing can degrade bioactive compounds (11,12) and modify organoleptic properties (13). For this reason, other preservation alternatives have been developed that ensure the safety of food without affecting its quality. One of them is the use of high hydrostatic pressure (HHP), which is a non-thermal method based on the application of pressure on the food matrix, able to reduce the microbiological load, preserve the organoleptic properties and bioactive compounds in the product (14,15). This technology has been used in natural products and functional food (16) so that the compounds of interest can reach the consumer without degrading during processing.

The present study focuses on the formulation of beverages with greater antioxidant capacity and vitamin C content from the mixture of purple passion fruit (Passiflora edulis f. edulis Sims), green passion fruit (Passiflora maliformis) and carrot (Daucus carota) because these fruits have demonstrated high antioxidant capacity (17–19). It also evaluates the effect of HHP (500 MPa, 250 s, 25 °C) with respect to three conventional thermal processings (at 65 °C for 10 min, 75 °C for 2 min, and 95 °C for 1 min). Subsequently, we carried out a sensorial analysis and microbiological follow up at 8 °C on the formulations that gave the best results to determine the best conservation method and the best formulation according to the perception of potential consumers, antioxidant capacity, vitamin C content and microbiological parameters.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals and reagents

The reagents were of analytical grade, except for 96 % methanol and 96 % ethanol, which were of industrial grade, and provided by Ciacomeq (Bogotá, Colombia). Citric acid, 37 % hydrochloric acid, L-ascorbic acid, glacial acetic acid, sodium acetate, sodium nitrite, sodium hydroxide and iron(III) chloride hexahydrate were obtained from Merk S.A. (Bogotá, Colombia). Trolox (6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchroman-2-carboxylic acid), TPTZ (2,4,6-tripyridyl-s-triazine), DPPH (2,2-di-phenyl-picrylhydrazyl) and 2-nitroaniline were provided by Auros Químicos Ltda (Bogotá, Colombia) and oxalic acid by Carbo Erba Reagents S.A. (Sabadell, Spain).

Vegetal material and sample preparation

The vegetal material: purple passion fruit, green passion fruit and carrot was obtained from the local market and kept at 8 °C in the laboratory of the Jorge Tadeo Lozano University, Bogotá, Colombia, until its processing. Subsequently, the raw material was washed with potable water and disinfected with a solution of sodium hypochlorite 100 mg/L and citric acid 0.2 g/L for 5 min. Additionally, it was necessary to immerse the carrots in an anti-browning solution (ascorbic acid 10 g/L and citric acid 2 g/L). Afterwards, the juice was extracted from the obtained pulp by a cold pressing equipment (Norwalk Juicer 280; Bentonville, AR, USA).

Effect of the preservation method on the antioxidant capacity and vitamin C content in the mixtures

An enhanced (3,2) simplex lattice design (20) was proposed to study the effect of the three components (juices of purple passion fruit, green passion fruit and carrot) on the antioxidant capacity and vitamin C concentration of the mixtures. First, the juices were submitted to HHP using a high-pressure processing equipment (Hiperbaric HPP, Miami, FL, USA) at 500 MPa for 250 s at 25 °C (these working conditions were defined by preliminary tests, data not shown). Pasteurisation was evaluated under three different time and temperature conditions (P1 = 65 °C for 10 min, P2 = 75 °C for 2 min and P3 = 95 °C for 1 min), with a subsequent thermal shock at 10 °C. Pasteurisation was carried out in an oil bath (Mermert, Schwabach, Germany) controlled by a thermostat by immersing the glass containers with the samples.

The response variables were the antioxidant capacity expressed in mg of Trolox equivalents (TE) per L of beverage, according to the FRAP and DPPH methods, and vitamin C concentration expressed in mg L-ascorbic acid per L of the beverage. The design consisted of 10 random combinations; 3 with only one component, 3 binary mixtures and 4 comprising all three juices (Table 1). In addition, the samples of a single component and the one that contained all the ingredients in equal amounts were done in duplicate.

To quantitate the antioxidant capacity, the sample was diluted 1:10 with 80 % methanol for DPPH and 80 % ethanol for FRAP assay. Afterwards, the diluted solutions were centrifuged at 2800×g for 15 min (centrifuge Rotofix 32; Hettich, Schwerin, Germany) and the supernatant was taken. For vitamin C quantification, the samples were treated with a 0.15 % oxalic acid solution in sufficient quantity according to Bernal de Ramírez (21) to provide a concentration between 0.04 and 4 mg L-ascorbic acid per mL. Then, the samples were centrifuged at 2800×g for 15 min (Rotofix 32; Hettich) and the supernatant was taken.

Determination of the antioxidant capacity by FRAP assay

Ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) assay is useful for the determination of antioxidants present in a liquid sample. It is based on the reduction of the iron(III)-tripyridyltriazine to iron(II)-tripyridyltriazine with antioxidants (reducing agents) in acidic solution. This assay was carried out in triplicate according to the method described by Benzie and Strain (22) as follows: 30 µL of diluted extract were mixed with 30 µL of 80 % ethanol and 940 µL of FRAP reagent (composed of 0.3 mol/L buffer sodium acetate, pH=3.6, 0.01 mol/L tripyridyltriazine and 0.02 mol/L iron(II) chloride (10:1:1)). Then, the sample was incubated at 37 °C for 1 h in the absence of light. Subsequently, the absorbance was measured at 593 nm in the spectrophotometer (Evolution™ 300; Thermo Fisher Scientific,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mixture</th>
<th>X&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>X&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>X&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>V/mL</th>
<th>Response variable (y)</th>
<th>Mixture</th>
<th>X&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>X&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>X&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>V/mL</th>
<th>Response variable (y)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>HHP</td>
<td>925.55</td>
<td>1491.12</td>
<td>323.65</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>HHP</td>
<td>647.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1012.71</td>
<td>1591.93</td>
<td>315.97</td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>719.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>940.55</td>
<td>1445.35</td>
<td>172.39</td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>779.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>886.02</td>
<td>1386.12</td>
<td>174.40</td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>560.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>839.99</td>
<td>223.73</td>
<td>217.33</td>
<td></td>
<td>125</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>HHP</td>
<td>783.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>832.96</td>
<td>351.91</td>
<td>242.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>701.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>791.16</td>
<td>861.39</td>
<td>225.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>927.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>863.49</td>
<td>1115.76</td>
<td>247.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>577.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>HHP</td>
<td>638.05</td>
<td>907.06</td>
<td>146.53</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>HHP</td>
<td>899.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>601.00</td>
<td>901.30</td>
<td>151.43</td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>855.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>716.09</td>
<td>1370.30</td>
<td>136.25</td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>864.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>691.12</td>
<td>1379.58</td>
<td>141.44</td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>692.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>627.91</td>
<td>729.16</td>
<td>141.18</td>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>HHP</td>
<td>772.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>631.77</td>
<td>902.51</td>
<td>144.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>779.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>597.39</td>
<td>845.30</td>
<td>184.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>783.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>692.70</td>
<td>829.51</td>
<td>169.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>620.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>HHP</td>
<td>360.19</td>
<td>446.77</td>
<td>18.92</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>HHP</td>
<td>709.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>366.33</td>
<td>460.76</td>
<td>19.11</td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>809.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>388.02</td>
<td>1037.03</td>
<td>15.18</td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>859.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>388.92</td>
<td>971.97</td>
<td>17.02</td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>456.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>514.73</td>
<td>214.26</td>
<td>34.71</td>
<td></td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>HHP</td>
<td>791.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>585.87</td>
<td>621.43</td>
<td>49.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>774.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>511.98</td>
<td>719.79</td>
<td>161.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>796.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>520.73</td>
<td>749.26</td>
<td>167.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>809.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>HHP</td>
<td>812.79</td>
<td>1254.12</td>
<td>233.88</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>HHP</td>
<td>585.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>774.44</td>
<td>1265.38</td>
<td>147.67</td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>848.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>826.80</td>
<td>1046.61</td>
<td>210.90</td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>524.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>752.78</td>
<td>857.23</td>
<td>199.17</td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>542.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

X<sub>1</sub>=purple passion fruit juice, X<sub>2</sub>=green passion fruit juice, X<sub>3</sub>=carrot juice. Method: high hydrostatic pressure (HHP=500 MPa for 250 s), and pasteurization: P<sub>1</sub>=65 °C for 10 min, P<sub>2</sub>=75 °C for 2 min, P<sub>3</sub>=95 °C for 1 min. TE=Trolox equivalent.
Loughborough, UK) and it was compared with a Trolox stand-
ard linear curve between 100 and 600 µmol/L. The antioxidant
capacity was expressed as Trolox equivalent in mg/L.

Determination of antioxidant capacity by DPPH assay

This method is based on the reduction of stable DPPH ni-
trogen radicals in the presence of antioxidants. Antioxidant
capacity was determined according to the method described
by Brand-Williams et al. (23) in triplicate. A volume of 50 µL
of diluted extract, 800 µL of 80 % methanol and 200 µL of
methanol solution of 6·10⁻⁴ mol/L DPPH were mixed. Then,
the mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 1 h in the absence of light.
The absorbance was measured at 515 nm (Evolution™ 300;
Thermo Fisher Scientific) and compared to a Trolox calibra-
tion curve in the concentration range from 100 to 600 µmol/L.
The results were expressed as Trolox equivalents in mg/L.

Determination of vitamin C using 2-nitroaniline
colourimetric method

The L-ascorbic acid is treated with 2-nitroaniline diazo-
tized in the presence of an excess of NaOH, which turns to
2-nitrophenylhydrazine and gives a red-violet sodium salt
solution with absorption maximum at 540 nm. Vitamin C was
determined according to the method described by Bernal de Ramírez (21) in triplicate. For this assay, the components were
added in the following order: 10 µL of 2-nitroaniline reagent,
20 µL of sodium nitrite, 380 µL of 96 % ethanol, 50 µL of di-
luted extract, 50 µL of 0.15 % oxalic acid, 120 µL of 10 % NaOH
and 380 µL of distilled water. The absorbance was then meas-
ured at 540 nm (Evolution™ 300; Thermo Fisher Scientific). It
was compared to L-ascorbic acid calibration curve in the con-
centration range from 20 to 200 mg/L. The results were ex-
pressed in mg/L of L-ascorbic acid.

Sensory and microbiological analyses

The formulations containing the three ingredients (mix-
tures 7, 8, 9 and 10 in Table 1) were subjected to the four con-
servation methods (HHP, P₂, P₃ and P₄). These samples were
taken for sensory evaluation of colour, aroma, taste and gen-
eral acceptance. The test was conducted with an informal
sensory panel consisting of 30 people (15 women, 15 men,
aged between 20 and 28). The tests were carried out with the
products at 20 °C using a seven-point hedonic scale where
7=like a lot, 5=like a little, 4=dislike, 3=dislike a little, and
1=dislike it a lot, with a marketing limit set at 5.

The mixtures containing the three raw materials accord-
ing to the enhanced simplex lattice design (Table 1) were subject-
ted to the four conservation methods and then stored at
(8±2) °C. Every 15 days, during 60 days, total mesophilic aer-
obic bacteria were counted on plate count agar, and moulds
and yeasts on potato dextrose agar. The results were ex-
pressed as colony forming units per mL. The microbiological
parameters were determined in triplicate.

Statistical analysis

The response surface methodology was used to deter-
mine the effect of conservation methods on antioxidant ca-
pacity and vitamin C content in the formulations. The exper-
imental design was carried out with program Design-Expert,
v. 9.0 (24). The choice of the response function that best fit-
ted the experimental data was made using ANOVA (p<0.05),
considering the linear, quadratic, cubic and special quartic
models. After the analysis of the model, the associated con-
tour graphs were generated, and the best mixing conditions
were defined.

To determine the effect of conservation methods and
the formulation on the sensorial evaluation, the Kruskal Wal-
lis (p<0.05) test was carried out with the statistical program
Infostat, v. 2018e (25), performing the Mann-Whitney U test
(p<0.0083) in the case of the occurrence of a significant dif-
ference.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Antioxidant capacity and vitamin C
concentration in the mixtures

FRAP assay

Regression analysis of the results obtained for the deter-
mination of antioxidant capacity with FRAP assay (Table 1),
shows good linearity with high coefficients of determination
(Table 2) (R² adj ≥0.86 and model with ps:0.0014 in test F), which
implied that the variability in the antioxidant capacity of the
beverage is explained to a high degree by its components.
Additionally, according to the contour graphs (Fig. 1), com-
ponent X₁ (purple passion fruit) is the largest contributor to
the antioxidant capacity, while formulations with a high car-
rot content (component X₃) had a lower value in the response
variable. Nevertheless, according to Fig. 1c, there is a syn-
ergistic effect between these components. Fig. 1a also shows a
similar behaviour of the green passion fruit (X₂) and carrot (X₃)
because the mixtures with high content of these raw mate-
rials have a greater antioxidant capacity than what has been
reported for the pure juices from these fruits.

The conservation methods also affected the antioxidant
capacity of the formulations. Treatments with HHP and P₂
gave the highest values (Fig. 1a and 1c), while the method P₃
(Fig. 1d) showed the lowest results in the trial, which could
be explained by the fact that the high temperatures of the
process can degrade some antioxidant compounds (11,12). On
the other hand, sample 6, which was subjected to P₃ meth-
ood, had the highest antioxidant capacity expressed as Trolox
equivalents (927.96 mg/L; Table 1). The above demonstrates the
potential of the beverage with respect to other products
on the market, with higher values than blueberry juice type
Toro (0.77±0.01) mg/g) obtained by Kraujalytė et al. (26), and
Veltlín zelený white wine (535.62±2.50) mg/L), but lower than
Modró Portugal red wine (1123.43±2.50) mg/L) characterized
by Stratil et al. (27). In addition, the antioxidant capacity of the

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Concentration (mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L-ascorbic acid</td>
<td>1231.43±2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total mesophilic aerobic bacteria</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total moulds and yeasts</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>R² adj</th>
<th>F-test</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>12.34</td>
<td>&lt;0.0014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadratic</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>15.23</td>
<td>&lt;0.0014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cubic</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>18.12</td>
<td>&lt;0.0014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartic</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>21.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.0014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2. Regression coefficients for the models of antioxidant capacity and L-ascorbic acid determination in juices treated with high hydrostatic pressure (HHP) and pasteurisation (P) method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>FRAP as γ(TE)/(mg/L)</th>
<th>DPPH as γ(TE)/(mg/L)</th>
<th>Vitamin C as γ(L-ascorbic acid)/(mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HHP</td>
<td>P1</td>
<td>P2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear mixture</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purple passion fruit juice (X1)</td>
<td>966.18</td>
<td>916.50</td>
<td>833.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green passion fruit juice (X2)</td>
<td>621.58</td>
<td>706.81</td>
<td>631.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrot juice (X3)</td>
<td>369.82</td>
<td>391.68</td>
<td>562.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X1X2X3</td>
<td>–9454.30</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²_adjusted</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conservation method: HPP=500 MPa, 250 s, 25 °C, P1=65 °C, 10 min, P2=75 °C, 2 min, P3=95 °C, 1 min. *Significant at 0.05; **significant at 0.01; ***significant at 0.001. TE=Trolox equivalent

---

**Figure 1.** The effect of the formulation of a drink made from purple passion fruit juice, green passion fruit juice and carrot juice treated by: a) high hydrostatic pressure (500 MPa, 250 s, 25 °C), and pasteurisation: b) P1 (65 °C, 10 min), c) P2 (75 °C, 2 min) and d) P3 (95 °C, 1 min) on the antioxidant capacity determined by FRAP method (expressed as Trolox equivalent in mg/L).
beverage was lower than that obtained by Abountiolas and do Nascimento Nunes (28) for the pomegranate juice Lakewood Pure Pomegranate (Lakewood Juices, Inc.) (8214.01 mg/L), but higher than that of orange juice Minute Maid Orange Juice (Coca Cola Company) (509.70 mg/L).

Results of DPPH assay

Only two of the models achieved coefficients of determination higher than 0.8 (Table 2). Nevertheless, although it is not possible to determine the effect of the formulation on the antioxidant capacity of the beverage treated with methods $P_2$ and $P_3$, it is observed that the antioxidant capacity decreased for all the models of the experimental design, which allows inferring that the increase in temperature affects the antioxidant capacity of the mixtures, generating models and contour graphs with values lower than those obtained in HHP and $P_1$ treatments (Fig. 2).

According to Figs. 2a and 2b, the juice of purple passion fruit is the raw material that provides the greatest antioxidant capacity, while the carrot juice has the lowest values. These results are similar to those of the FRAP assay.

On the other hand, mixture 7 was the one which showed the highest antioxidant capacity (Table 1), since it contains the highest volume fraction of purple passion fruit juice. This quantification exceeds the antioxidant capacity determined for untreated pineapple juice (1096±193 mg/L) (29) and white wines (445.52±7.51 mg/L), similar to that of red wine (1379.1±2.5 mg/L) (27). In addition, the antioxidant capacity of the formulation exceeds the one determined for the blueberry and raspberry juice Fuze Slenderize Blueberry and Raspberry (Coca Cola Company) (814.94 mg/L), while it is lower than the pomegranate-based drinks (7854.83 mg/L) Lakewood Pure Pomegranate (Lakewood Juices, Inc.) (28).

Determination of vitamin C concentration

With the obtained results (Table 1), the statistical models with the best fit (Table 2) were generated ($R^2$≥0.86 and model with p≤0.002 in the F test) and the respective contour graphs (Fig. 3) were plotted. From the above, it is possible to affirm that, using all conservation methods, purple passion fruit juice contributes to the formulation with the greatest concentration of $L$-ascorbic acid, followed by green passion fruit and finally carrot juice. Additionally, none of the graphs show possible synergistic effects among components. Nevertheless, according to Fig. 3d, an antagonistic effect between green passion fruit and carrot is observable, which is especially noted when there is a low volume fraction of purple passion fruit in the mixture.

The concentration of $L$-ascorbic acid in the formulations was also affected by the conservation process, and the methods which showed higher vitamin C concentration in the decreasing order were HHP and $P_1$ (Figs. 3a and 3c). The results confirm the degradation of ascorbic acid during thermal treatments, as reported by some authors (13,30), which
Fig. 3. The effect of the formulation of a drink made from purple passion fruit juice, green passion fruit juice and carrot juice treated by: a) high hydrostatic pressure (500 MPa, 250 s, 25 °C), and pasteurisation: b) P1 (65 °C, 10 min), c) P2 (75 °C, 2 min) and d) P3 (95 °C, 1 min) on the L-ascorbic acid content (expressed as L-ascorbic acid in mg/L)

is notable in P3 method compared to HPP (Figs. 3a and 3d) at 25 °C. On the other hand, prolonged times of exposure to high temperatures show decreases in the vitamin C concentration, as shown in Fig. 3b, where values are lower than in P2 (Fig. 3c), in spite of the fact that the latter method had a higher temperature for a shorter time interval.

The highest concentration of L-ascorbic acid (0.24 mg/g) was determined in mixture 7, which was subjected to HPP (Table 1), similar to the results of Rao et al. (30) for peach juice pasteurized at 90 °C for 1 min ((263.4±9.5) mg/L), or those for fresh purple passion fruit juice (0.29 mg/g) (31). However, the vitamin C concentration of the formulation is lower than what was described for fresh orange juice (536.5 mg/L) (13) and for beverage R.W. Knudsen Mega C (Knudsen & Sons, Inc.) (4606 mg/L) (28).

Sensory analysis

According to Table 3, mixtures 7, 8 and 10 exceeded the marketing limit established for all the evaluated characteristics (5). On the contrary, mixture 9 showed the lowest values for the parameters: colour, aroma and general acceptance, while it did not demonstrate significant differences in the flavour in relation to mixtures 7 and 8. The above shows that the formulations with low carrot content are preferred by the sensory panel.

No significant differences were observed in the colour (5.4±1.1), flavour (5.0±1.2) and general acceptance (5.2±0.8) characteristics, with respect to the applied conservation method. The above did not follow the behaviour reported by Zvaigzne et al. (13), who showed that high temperature pasteurization and short time (130 °C for 2 s) affect to a lesser extent the sensorial characteristics of orange juice than conventional pasteurization (94 °C for 30 s). On the other hand, the aroma showed changes depending on the preservation treatments, where the HHP treatment resulted in a significantly higher values (5.5±1.2) than treatments P1 (5.0±0.9) and P3 (4.9±1.0), which is similar to the findings of Rao et al. (30) in peach juice, where the samples treated with HHP (600 MPa, 10 min, 25 °C) were better evaluated than the pasteurized beverage ((90±2) °C, 1 min). For this reason, it is inferred that the HHP method keeps the aroma of beverages to a greater extent than thermal treatments.

Microbiological assay during storage at 8 °C

All the mixtures submitted to the four conservation treatments showed a concentration of <10 CFU/mL of mesophilic aerobes, as well as moulds and yeasts on day 0 (data not shown). The thermally treated samples showed an increase in the concentration of mesophilic aerobes at 15 days (30–350 CFU/mL), being uncountable after 30 days. There was no evidence of mould and yeast growth during the first 15 days of storage, and after 30 days they were uncountable.

Juices submitted to HHP exhibited a maximum concentration of 15 CFU/mL during the 60 days of experimentation,
showing a null growth of microorganisms during storage. The above is similar to what was reported for pomegranate juice, where treatments with HHP higher than 350 MPa for 150 s maintained the mesophilic aerobic parameters, molusds and yeasts below the detection levels during the entire storage period (<1.0 log CFU/mL during 35 days) (32). Nevertheless, Patterson et al. (33) further explained that although the use of HHP (500–600 MPa, 1 min, 20 °C) reduces the microbial population to 4 log CFU/mL in carrot juice, the population of microorganisms at 8 °C increases during storage, with strains of lactic acid bacteria and Gram-positive spore-forming bacteria determined in carrot juice.

CONCLUSIONS

The mixture composed of purple passion fruit (67 %), green passion fruit (17 %) and carrot (17 %) juices treated at 500 MPa and 25 °C for 250 s showed the highest antioxidant capacity expressed as Trolox equivalent (899.63 and 1281.69 mg/L by FRAP and DPPH assay, respectively), vitamin C concentration (242.79 mg/L) and sensory acceptance. The thermal treatments affected the antioxidant capacity and the concentration of vitamin C in the mixtures, while the high hydrostatic pressure preserved these components and demonstrated better results of the aroma evaluation of the formulations and microbial control during storage at 8 °C. For this reason, high hydrostatic pressure presents an emerging technology that does not affect the analysed chemical parameters, preserves the organoleptic properties desired by the consumers, and ensures the harmlessness and extends the shelf life of the food.
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Table 3. Sensory evaluation of the selected cold-pressed juice formulations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colour</td>
<td>(5.4±1.1)\textsuperscript a</td>
<td>(5.6±1.0)\textsuperscript a</td>
<td>(5.0±1.3)\textsuperscript b</td>
<td>(5.6±1.0)\textsuperscript a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aroma</td>
<td>(5.3±1.0)\textsuperscript ab</td>
<td>(5.2±1.1)\textsuperscript b</td>
<td>(4.45±1.2)\textsuperscript b</td>
<td>(5.6±0.9)\textsuperscript a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavour</td>
<td>(5.0±1.2)\textsuperscript b</td>
<td>(5.0±1.1)\textsuperscript b</td>
<td>(4.6±1.4)\textsuperscript b</td>
<td>(5.6±1.2)\textsuperscript c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General acceptance</td>
<td>(5.3±0.8)\textsuperscript b</td>
<td>(5.3±0.8)\textsuperscript b</td>
<td>(4.85±0.9)\textsuperscript b</td>
<td>(5.6±0.8)\textsuperscript a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The same letters in a row denote no significant differences based on a Kruskal Wallis test (p<0.05) and Mann-Whitney U test (p<0.0083)
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